

PCT



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference PHM.70582/WO | FOR FURTHER ACTION | See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416) | |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------|
| International application No. PCT/GB00/03192 | International filing date (day/month 21/08/2000 | vyear) | Priority date (day/month/year) |
| | | | 27/08/1999 |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C07B37/10 Applicant | | | |
| ASTRAZENECA AB | | | |
| This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. | | | |
| 2. This REPORT consists of a total of | 7 sheets, including this cover sh | neet. | |
| been amended and are the basi (see Rule 70.16 and Section 60 | ☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). | | |
| These annexes consist of a total of | sheets. | | |
| 3. This report contains indications relat | ing to the following items: | | |
| I ⊠ Basis of the report | | | |
| II Priority | | | |
| • | f opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | |
| IV Lack of unity of invention | ention | | |
| V Peasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations suporting such statement | | | |
| VI | | | |
| VII | | | |
| VIII ⊠ Certain observations on the international application | | | |
| Date of submission of the demand | Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report | | |
| 23/02/2001 09.08.2001 | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: | Authorize | ed officer | Sept 1600 TES PATENTERS |
| European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 | Herzog | , A | TALBERTO WE |
| Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Telephone No. +49 89 2399 8033 | | | 2399 8033 |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB00/03192

| I. Bas | is of | the | report |
|--------|-------|-----|--------|
|--------|-------|-----|--------|

| 1. | the and | receiving Office in r | ents of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to esponse to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): |
|----|------------|---|--|
| | 1-9 | | as originally filed |
| | Cla | ims, No.: | |
| | 1-8 | | as originally filed |
| 2. | | | uage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. |
| | The | se elements were a | vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is: |
| | | the language of a to | ranslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). |
| | | the language of pul | plication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). |
| | | the language of a to 55.2 and/or 55.3). | ranslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule |
| 3. | | | eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: |
| | | contained in the int | ernational application in written form. |
| | | filed together with t | he international application in computer readable form. |
| | | furnished subseque | ently to this Authority in written form. |
| | | furnished subseque | ently to this Authority in computer readable form. |
| | | | the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in plication as filed has been furnished. |
| | | The statement that listing has been fur | the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence nished. |
| 4. | The | amendments have | resulted in the cancellation of: |
| | | the description, | pages: |
| | | the claims, | Nos.: |
| | | the drawings, | sheets: |
| 5. | | | en established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been eyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)): |

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes:

Claims 7,8

No:

Claims 1-6

Inventive step (IS)

Yes:

Claims

No:

Claims 1-8

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes:

Claims 1-8

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

VI. Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made: see separate sheet

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

D1: XP004105664 (Spitzer, J.L. et al., Tetrahedron, vol. 53, no. 20 (1997), p. 6791-6808)

D2: XP002156524 (Smit, W.A., Tetrahedron Letters, vol. 27, no. 11 (1986), p. 1241-1244)

D3: XP002156525 (Simonian, S.O., Tetrahedron Letters, vol. 27, no. 11 (1986), p. 1245-1248)

a) The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT, because the subject-matter of present claims 1-6 is not new.

D1 discloses an alkyne cobalt carbonyl complex for the Pauson-Khand reaction which is polymer-supported. An alkynol of different chain length is used as the linker group between the cobalt carbonyl complex and the functionalised Merrifield's polymer beads (cf. abstract; page 6792, second §; page 6793, Scheme 1; page 6795, first scheme; page 6796, Scheme 2). Due to the fact that in the present claims, the term "immobilised" is not further defined, D1 is novelty-destroying for present claims 1-6.

In D2 on page 1243, second §, it is mentioned that the reaction in Scheme 5 on said page, namely the Pauson-Khand conversion of the alkyne cobalt carbonyl complex 9a or 9b into the compound 10a or 10b, sharply accelerates if it is carried out not in solution, but <u>in an absorbed state on the surface of an adsorbent</u> such as SiO₂.

D3 also discloses the Pauson-Khand reaction with an alkyne cobalt carbonyl complex adsorbed on silicagel (cf. D3, abstract and page 1246, Scheme 1). Again, due to the vague term "immobilised" used in the present claims, D2 and D3 are considered novelty-destroying for claims 1-6.

b) The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT because the subject-matter of present claims 1-8 does not involve an inventive

step for the following reasons:

- The term "immobilised" is not clearly defined in the claims. Said feature is the only 1. feature which could render the subject-matter claimed in the present application novel and inventive over the prior art. However, the claims do not explain how the invention could be carried out, and thus how the problem could be solved, contrary to the requirements of Articles 6 and 33(3) PCT.
- According to claims 1, 2, 5 and 7, a transition metal carbonyl complex or alkyne 2. transition metal carbonyl complex is used as a catalyst in the Pauson-Khand reaction. However, it is clear to the person skilled in the art that not all transition metal carbonyl complexes could be used in the Pauson-Khand reaction and thus solve the problem posed (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 3. In the example given in the description (cf. page 9), a catalyst A precursor resin has been used which contains cobalt as the transition metal (cf. scheme on page 4). No data is provided which could demonstrate that catalysts other than said catalyst A could be used to advantageously carry out the Pauson-Khand reaction and thus solve the problem posed (Article 33(3) PCT). The process using a heterobitransition metal carbonyl catalyst disclosed in claim 7 is not supported by the description and examples either (Article 6 and 33(3) PCT).
- 4. A catalyst cannot be immobilised and at the same time resolved or partially resolved as claimed in claim 7.

Re Item VI

Certain documents cited

- D4: XP002156527 (Comely, A.C., J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm., no. 4 (2000), p. 305-306)
- D5: XP002156526 (Comely, A.C., J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm., no. 20 (1999), p. 2075-2076)
- D6: XP002156528 (Kim, S.-W., J. Am. Chem. Soc., vol. 122, no. 7 (2000), p. 1550-1551)

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

In claim 7, the wording is probably incorrect, the two possibilities being identical. Presumably, by analogy with other claims, "alkyne" is missing after "immobilised" at line 23.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

tures which are merely optional (Rule 6.4 PCT).

- Although claims 1 and 2 as well as claims 5 and 7 have been drafted as separate 1. independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness (Article 6 PCT). In order to overcome this objection, it would appear appropriate to file an amended set of claims defining the relevant subject-matter in terms of a single independent claim in each category followed by dependent claims covering fea-
- 2. The term "immobilised" used in claims 1, 2, 5 and 7 is unclear since it is not described in the claims how the immobilisation is carried out (Article 6 PCT).
- 3. In claims 2 and 5, an immobilised alkyne transition metal carbonyl catalyst is claimed as an alternative to an immobilised transition metal carbonyl catalyst. However, the constitution of an immobilised transition metal carbonyl catalyst other than alkyne transition metal carbonyl catalyst is not clear (Article 6 PCT).
- In claim 7, a process for the preparation of e resolved or partly resolved 4. cyclopentenone compound or analogue thereof by reaction of an alkyne, an alkylene and carbon monoxide in the presence of a resolved or partially resolved immobilised heterobitransition metal carbonyl catalyst is disclosed.

International application No. PCT/GB00/03192

However, the expression "resolved or partly/partially resolved" is not clear since

the reaction solvent is not mentioned in the claims (Article 6 PCT). In addition, the fact that the catalyst should be immobilised and at the same time resolved or partially resolved leads to an inconsistency between these features, rendering the claim unclear (Article 6 PCT).

The variables x and m used on page 8, lines 19 and 20 and on page 9, line 5 5. have not been defined (Article 6 PCT).

PCT

NOTICE INFORMING THE APPLICANT OF THE COMMUNICATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION TO THE DESIGNATED OFFICES

(PCT Rule 47.1(c), first sentence)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

BROWN, Andrew, Stephen
AstraZeneca
Global Intellectual Property
Alderley Park, P.O. Box 272
Mereside
Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 4GR
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

08 March 2001 (08.03.01)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

PHM.70582/WO

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/GB00/03192

International filing date (day/month/year)
21 August 2000 (21.08.00)

Priority date (day/month/year)

27 August 1999 (27.08.99)

Applicant

ASTRAZENECA AB et al

 Notice is hereby given that the International Bureau has communicated, as provided in Article 20, the international application to the following designated Offices on the date indicated above as the date of mailing of this Notice: AU,KP,KR,US

In accordance with Rule 47.1(c), third sentence, those Offices will accept the present Notice as conclusive evidence that the communication of the international application has duly taken place on the date of mailing indicated above and no copy of the international application is required to be furnished by the applicant to the designated Office(s).

2. The following designated Offices have waived the requirement for such a communication at this time:

AE,AG,AL,AM,AP,AT,AZ,BA,BB,BG,BR,BY,CA,CH,CN,CR,CU,CZ,DE,DK,DM,DZ,EA,EE,EP,ES,FI,GB,GD,GE,GH,GM,HR,HU,ID,IL,IN,IS,JP,KE,KG,KZ,LC,LK,LR,LS,LT,LU,LV,MA,MD,MG,MK,MN,MW,MX,NO,NZ,OA,PL,PT,RO,RU,SD,SE,SG,SI,SK,SL,TJ,TM,TR,TT,TZ,UA,UG,UZ,VN,YU,ZA,ZW The communication will be made to those Offices only upon their request. Furthermore, those Offices do not require the applicant to furnish a copy of the international application (Rule 49.1(a-bis)).

3. Enclosed with this Notice is a copy of the international application as published by the International Bureau on 08 March 2001 (08.03.01) under No. WO 01/16058

REMINDER REGARDING CHAPTER II (Article 31(2)(a) and Rule 54.2)

If the applicant wishes to postpone entry into the national phase until 30 months (or later in some Offices) from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed with the competent International Preliminary Examining Authority before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date.

It is the applicant's sole responsibility to monitor the 19-month time limit.

Note that only an applicant who is a national or resident of a PCT Contracting State which is bound by Chapter II has the right to file a demand for international preliminary examination.

REMINDER REGARDING ENTRY INTO THE NATIONAL PHASE (Article 22 or 39(1))

If the applicant wishes to proceed with the international application in the national phase, he must, within 20 months or 30 months, or later in some Offices, perform the acts referred to therein before each designated or elected Office.

For further important information on the time limits and acts to be performed for entering the national phase, see the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 (Notification of Receipt of Record Copy) and Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, ch min des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

J. Zahra

Facsimile No. (41-22) 740.14.35 Telephone No. (41-22) 338.83.38

Continuation of F rm PCT/IB/308 NOTICE INFORMUME THE APPLICANT OF THE COMMUNICATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION TO THE DESIGNATED OFFICES

| Dat of mailing (day/month/year) 08 March 2001 (08.03.01) | IMPORTANT NOTICE |
|--|--|
| Applicant's or agent's file reference PHM.70582/WO | International application No. PCT/GB00/03192 |

The applicant is hereby notified that, at the time of establishment of this Notice, the time limit under Rule 46.1 for making amendments under Article 19 has not yet expired and the International Bureau had received neither such amendments nor a declaration that the applicant does not wish to make amendments.

| CODE | DATE | NTD |
|-----------------|----------|---------|
| | | |
| | | |
| REC'D 2 | 3 MAR 20 | 01 GIPS |
| DATA ENTERED | ms. | [.L. |
| FINAL CHECK | LCE | |

P TENT COOPERATION TREATED

| | From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU | |
|--|--|--|
| PCT | То: | |
| NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION (PCT Rule 61.2) | Commissioner US Department of Commerce United States Patent and Trademark Office, PCT 2011 South Clark Place Room CP2/5C24 Arlington, VA 22202 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE | |
| Date of mailing (day/month/year) 02 May 2001 (02.05.01) | in its capacity as elected Office | |
| International application No. PCT/GB00/03192 | Applicant's or agent's file reference PHM.70582/WO | |
| International filing date (day/month/year) 21 August 2000 (21.08.00) | Priority date (day/month/year) 27 August 1999 (27.08.99) | |
| Applicant | | |
| COMELY, Alex, Christian et al | | |
| 1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made. X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary 23 February 20 in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Preliminary 23 February 20 2 The election X was was not was not was not was not Rule 32.2(b). | y Examining Authority on: 001 (23.02.01) national Bureau on: | |

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Juan Cruz

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35



Inter onal Application No PCT/GB 00/03192

| A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 C07B37/10 C07B41/06 | | | | |
|--|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| 110 / 00/03//10 00/01/00 | | | | |
| According to | International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification | tion and IPC | | |
| B. FIELDS | SEARCHED | | | |
| Minimum do IPC 7 | cumentation searched (classification system followed by classificatio $C07B - C07D$ | n symbols) | | |
| | | | | |
| Documentat | ion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that su | uch documents are included in the fields se | earched | |
| Electronic da | ata base consulted during the international search (name of data bas | e and, where practical, search terms used |) | |
| | BS Data, BEILSTEIN Data | | | |
| | , | | | |
| | | | | |
| C. DOCUM | ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT | | | |
| Category ° | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the rele | evant passages | Relevant to claim No. | |
| Α | J. L. SPITZER: "Polymer-supporte | d | 1,2,5,7 | |
| <u> </u> | synthesis as a tool for improving | | , , , | |
| | chemoselectivity: Pauson-Khand re TETRAHEDRON, (INCL TETRAHEDRON RE | action" PORTS). | | |
| | vol. 53, no. 20, 1997, pages 6791 | -6808, | | |
| | XP004105664 OXFORD GB | | | |
| | schemes 1 and 2; table 1 | | | |
| | | / | | |
| | | , | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | · | | | |
| X Furt | ther documents are listed in the continuation of box C. | Patent family members are listed | in annex. | |
| Special categories of cited documents: | | | | |
| "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention | | | | |
| "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to | | | | |
| "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention | | | | |
| citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled | | | | |
| other means *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed *A* document member of the same patent family | | | | |
| Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search | | | | |
| 4 January 2001 23/01/2001 | | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the ISA Authorized officer | | | | |
| | European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk | | | |
| | Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 Wright, M | | | |

1



Inter. onal Application No PCT/GB 00/03192

| | | 1 CT/4B 00/03192 |
|------------|--|-----------------------|
| | ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT | Relevant to claim No. |
| Category ° | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Heidvall to Gain No. |
| A | W. A. SMIT: "New route to the synthesis of polycyclic compounds based on a stepwise AdE-reaction of dicobalt octacarbonyl complexes of conjugated enynes with a subsequent intramolecular Khand-Pauson type reaction" TETRAHEDRON LETTERS, vol. 27, no. 11, 1986, pages 1241-1244, XP002156524 OXFORD GB page 1243 | 1,2,5,7 |
| A | S. O. SIMONIAN: "Adsorption effects on the efficiency of cobalt-mediated cyclizations of allylpropargyl ethers into derivatives of 3-oxabicyclo'3.3.0!oct-5-en-7-one" TETRAHEDRON LETTERS, vol. 27, no. 11, 1986, pages 1245-1248, XP002156525 OXFORD GB page 1246 -page 1247 | 1,2,5,7 |
| P,X | A. C. COMELY: "Polymer-supported cobalt carbonyl complexes as novel solid-phase catalysts of the Pauson-Khand reaction" JOURNAL OF THE CHEMICAL SOCIETY, CHEMICAL COMMUNICATIONS, no. 4, 21 February 2000 (2000-02-21), pages 305-306, XP002156527 LETCHWORTH GB the whole document | 1-6 |
| P,A | A. C. COMELY: "Polymer supported cobalt carbonyl complexes as novel traceless alkyne linkers for solid-phase synthesis" JOURNAL OF THE CHEMICAL SOCIETY, CHEMICAL COMMUNICATIONS, no. 20, 21 October 1999 (1999-10-21), pages 2075-2076, XP002156526 LETCHWORTH GB the whole document | 1,2,5,7 |
| P,A | SANG-WOOK KIM: "Cobalt on mesoporous silica: the first heterogeneous Pauson-Khand catalyst" JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY, vol. 122, no. 7, 23 February 2000 (2000-02-23), pages 1550-1551, XP002156528 DC US the whole document | 1,2,5,7 |

1